

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/998,935	ZHOU ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Melanie D. Bissett	1711	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

(1) Melanie D. Bissett. (3) _____.

(2) Colene Blank. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 March 2004
Time: ~11:30am
Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
Claims discussed:


16-23 and 25-33

Prior art documents discussed:
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Examiner/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The applicant agreed to amend claim 16 such that it contains all of the limitations of allowable independent claims. In this case, the limitation "wherein the pressure sensitive adhesive composition comprises no greater than 10% by weight of a tackifier and no greater than 2% by weight of a plasticizer" will be added, and the process claims will be rejoined with the allowable product claims..